

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
FLORENCE DIVISION

Harold Anderson,	)	C.A. No. 0:08-745-TLW-GCK
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	ORDER
	)	
Director Samuel B. Glover; Betty NLN;	)	
Judge Edward W. Miller; J.D. Burch;	)	
Steve W. Sumner;	)	
Magistrate Judge James E. Hudson,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

---

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Judge George C. Kosko, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In his Report, Magistrate Judge Kosko recommends that this action be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. (Doc. # 13). No objections to the Report have been filed.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. No objections have been filed to the Report. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the

applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 13), and the above-captioned case is dismissed, without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Terry L. Wooten  
**TERRY L. WOOTEN**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

September 29, 2008  
Florence, South Carolina